

Assessment of urban air quality using SIRANE dispersion model and a new method for estimating traffic emissions

<sup>a</sup>C.V. Nguyen, <sup>a</sup>L. Soulhac, <sup>a</sup>P. Charvolin and <sup>b</sup>G. Sabiron

11<sup>th</sup> June 2024

22<sup>th</sup> HARMO conference, Pärnu, Estonia

<sup>a</sup> Ecole Centrale de Lyon, CNRS, Université Claude Bernard Lyon I, INSA Lyon, LMFA, UMR 5509, 69130, Ecully, France

<sup>b</sup> Department of Control, Signal and System, IFP Energies Nouvelles, Solaize, France

CENTRALELYON







INSTITUT NATIONAL DES SCIENCES APPLIQUÉES LYON



CNIS

#### CENTRALELYON

# Urban air quality

- Outdoor air pollution has caused 4.2 million premature deaths worldwide in 2019 (WHO, 2022)
- High health risks in urban areas due to:
  - High pollution levels
  - Large urban population
- NO<sub>2</sub> pollution mainly due to traffic emissions (Restrepo, 2021)



#### CENTRALELYON

# New method for estimating traffic emissions

- Floating car data available for real-time traffic velocity
- Replicability to any territory



# Mesoscopic model vs. COPERT (State-of-the-art)

4







#### SIRANE

- Urban dispersion model based on street network concept (Soulhac, 2000)
  - Simplified consideration of buildings
  - Point, line, and surface sources
  - Multi-species, taking into account NO-NO<sub>2</sub>-O<sub>3</sub> chemical reactions
  - Source apportionment
- Description of urban geometry



#### CENTRALE LYON

# SIRANE Modelling blocks



#### Box model for each street

- Advection along the street axis induced by the parallel component of the wind
- Turbulent diffusion across the interface between the street and the external atmosphere



**Exchange model at intersections** 



Gaussian plume model into surface boundary layer



Urban canopy represented by a street network



Street canyon modelled by a shoe box

#### CENTRALE LYON

### Case study Description

- Area: Lyon (France)
- Periods (2023):
  - 30<sup>th</sup> January 5<sup>th</sup> February (winter)
  - 21<sup>th</sup> 27<sup>th</sup> May (spring)
  - 19<sup>th</sup> 25<sup>th</sup> June (summer)
- Pollutant: NO<sub>2</sub>



Domain of the case study

Case study Modelling chain







# Case study Mean weekly concentrations





# Case study Mean hourly concentrations



ÉCOLE CENTRALELYON

11

# Case study Mean hourly concentrations



ÉCOLE CENTRALELYON

12

13

# Case study QQ plots



NTRALE LYON

Modelled concentrations tend to overestimate measured concentration peaks

### CENTRALE LYON

# Case study Statistical indices

	Expression	Optimal value	Criteria (Chang et Hanna, 2004)			
FB	$\frac{\overline{C_p} - \overline{C_m}}{0.5(\overline{C_p} + \overline{C_m})}$	0	$-0.3 \le FB \le 0.3$			
ER	$\overline{\left(\frac{ C_p - C_m }{0.5(\overline{C_p} + \overline{C_m})}\right)}$	0				
NMSE	$\frac{\overline{\left(C_p - C_m\right)^2}}{\overline{C_p}  \overline{C_m}}$	0	$\sqrt{NMSE} \le 2$			
R	$\frac{\overline{\left(C_p - \overline{C_p}\right)}(C_m - \overline{C_m})}{\sqrt{\left(C_p - \overline{C_p}\right)^2} \ \overline{\left(C_m - \overline{C_m}\right)^2}}$	1				
MG	$\exp\bigl(\overline{\ln(\mathcal{C}_m)} - \overline{\ln(\mathcal{C}_p)}\bigr)$	1	$0.7 \le MG \le 1.3$			
VG	$\exp\left(\overline{\left(\ln(\mathcal{C}_m) - \ln(\mathcal{C}_p)\right)^2}\right)$	1	$VG \leq 1.6$			
FAC2	Proportion of estimates that check $0.5 < C_{\rm p}/C_{\rm m} < 2$	1	$FAC2 \ge 0.5$			
$C_{\rm m}$ : measured concentration $C_{\rm p}$ : modelled concentration						

## Case study Statistics

#### 30th January 2023 - 5th February 2023 (winter)

RALELYON

Station	FB	ER	NMSE	R	MG	VG	FAC2
A7 Sud Lyonnais	-0.059	0.352	0.280	0.670	0.978	1.227	0.881
Trafic Jaurès	-0.047	0.320	0.192	0.737	1.050	1.196	0.886
Lyon Périphérique	0.048	0.339	0.174	0.749	1.131	1.191	0.910

#### 21th - 27th May 2023 (spring)

Station	FB	ER	NMSE	R	MG	VG	FAC2
A7 Sud Lyonnais	0.109	0.430	0.253	0.637	1.063	1.329	0.756
Trafic Jaurès	-0.273	0.438	0.563	0.260	0.774	1.394	0.764
Lyon Périphérique	0.036	0.488	0.311	0.417	1.036	1.456	0.711

#### 19th - 25th June 2023 (summer)

Station	FB	ER	NMSE	R	MG	VG	FAC2
A7 Sud Lyonnais	0.092	0.553	0.560	0.222	1.080	1.688	0.673
Trafic Jaurès	-0.222	0.427	0.357	0.384	0.789	1.376	0.765
Lyon Périphérique	0.008	0.577	0.497	0.393	0.968	1.855	0.648

Generally the statistics meet Chang and Hanna (2004) quality criteria. Nevertheless, there is a poor correlation in spring and summer.



## Conclusion

- Assessment of urban air quality using a new method for estimating traffic emissions:
  - Quality of estimates varies according to time period and geographical area
    - Worse results in summer
    - $\circ~$  Poorer results for A7 Sud Lyonnais station
  - Globally the statistics meet Chang and Hanna (2004) quality criteria
- Outlook:
  - Compare traffic emissions with COPERT method
  - Takes into account low traffic neighbourhoods, car classification/restriction, etc.
  - Consider emissions from industries, heating, residential-tertiary activities etc.



# Thank you for your attention Questions ?



# From measurement to mesoscopic model

- Starting point : sensor measurements (PEMS)
- Microscopic step for accurate estimation
- Mesoscopic step for replicability and limited comp. cost.



#### CENTRALE LYON

# Road Traffic Emissions Estimation

- State of the art: copert
  - O European Standard for the Calculation of Vehicle Emissions
  - O Combination of laboratory test data, on-road measurements and modelling
  - O Macroscopic Emission Model
  - O Rely only of traffic speed
- - O Mesoscopic Emission Model
  - Rely on infrastructure, slope, speed limit
    and traffic speed
  - O Learned from data from microscopic modelling



	Microscopic	Mesoscopic	Macroscopic	
Data	Instant. (1 Hz)	Aggregated	Aggregated	
Accuracy	Very high	High	Low	
Comp. cost	High	Low	Low	
Model		R-TAMS real-time air modeling system	copert	



# A7 Sud Lyonnais





## **Trafic Jaurès**



## Lyon Périphérique



