

# ENHANCING URBAN AIR POLLUTION MODELLING THROUGH A NETWORK SCIENCE APPROACH

#### *Sofia Fellini*

*Politecnico di Torino, Department of Environmental and Land Engineering*

#### *Tianyang Li, Maarten Van Reeuwijk*

*Imperial College London, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering*

ECOLE<br>C**ENTRALE** LYON

**Imperial College** 

London



# What is the optimal place to reduce transport emissions?

Photo by Gabriel Bouys/AFP



AIR QUALITY PLANS TO MEET AIR QUALITY STANDARDS IN CITIES





NETWORK APPROACH

WHERE TO IMPOSE TRAFFIC RESTRICTIONS?

### Network representation









Dispersion from an emission source

The emission-receptor network

The weight matrix A

**Streets** → **Nodes Emission-Impact** → **Links** **Emission-Impact quantification** 

### The linear assumption



Oke, Timothy R., et al. *Urban climates*. Cambridge University Press, 2017.

### South Kensington case study



and emission model 46 streets <sup>→</sup> 46 nodes <sup>→</sup> 46x46 matrix **<sup>A</sup>** and **<sup>E</sup>**

emissions for 2021 from traffic simulation software

# Building matrix A



Soulhac, Lionel, et al. "The model SIRANE for atmospheric urban pollutant dispersion; part I, presentation of the model." Atmospheric environment 45.39 (2011): 7379-7395. The mose of the model." Atmospheric environment 45.

# Building matrix A



- **Inert** tracer emission (O<sub>3</sub>+ Night+ No precipitation)
- Null **background** concentration
- Neutrally stratified boundary layer  $\rightarrow$  Albedo=1
- External **wind**  $U_0$ ,  $\Phi$





- **Unit** passive scalar emission from street  $j(Q_i = 1)$ column j is filled  $(\mathcal{C}_{\cdot j})$
- 1 h simulation for **each** j to fill the entire matrix  $A(U_0, \phi)$
- Simulations are repeated for **8 wind directions**

**Emission-Impact matrix** *A*

$$
C = AQ
$$

### Building the exposure matrix E





**Exposure matrix** E

 $e_i = qp_iC_i$  [g/h]

 $\boldsymbol{e} = q\boldsymbol{p} \circ \boldsymbol{C} = q\boldsymbol{p} \circ A\boldsymbol{Q} = E\boldsymbol{Q}$ 



 $n_{TOT}$ 



### Testing the linear assumption for the inert scenario

- Construction of matrix  $A(U_0, \Phi)$
- II. Random n emissions *Q* and find *C* with **linear model:**  $C = AQ$
- III. Simulations with **SIRANE** for same *Q*
- **IV. Comparison** of concentrations
- V. Test repeated 20 times for each Φ





#### Why does the linear assumption hold?



Once matrix  $A(U_0, \Phi)$  is built, all the possible emission scenarios in the city can be easily computed!

### Wind speed correction







Longitudinal mean velocity in a street canyon





Vertical exchange velocity at roof level

∝ <sup>∗</sup> ∝ ∝ <sup>∗</sup>



$$
U_S, u_d \propto u_* \propto U \longrightarrow A \propto \frac{1}{U}
$$

$$
A(U, \Phi) = \frac{U_0}{U} A_0(\phi)
$$

Dispersion matrix for a general wind intensity

# Wind speed correction

- I. Construction of one matrix  $A_0(\Phi)$  for **single wind intensity**  $U_0$
- II. Construction of matrix  $A(U, \Phi)$  using wind correction:

$$
A(U, \Phi) = \frac{U_0}{U} A_0(\phi)
$$

III. Random n emissions *Q* and find *C* with **linear model**:

 $\mathbf{C}=A\mathbf{Q}$ 

- IV. Simulations with **SIRANE** for same *Q and simulating different*
- V. **Comparison** of concentrations
- VI. For each  $U$  simulations are **repeated** for different wind directions Φ

SIRANE prescribes a minimum  $u_*$ 



Once a single matrix  $A_0(\Phi)$  is built, all the possible emission scenarios in the city can be easily computed also for different wind intensities!

# Reducing network complexity





Simplified matrix  $\breve{A}$ 

$$
\widetilde{A}_{ij} = \begin{cases} A_{ij}, & \text{if } A_{ij} > \alpha \left( \prod_{1}^{N} A_{ii} \right)^{\frac{1}{N}} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

Error in reducing complexity

$$
\epsilon = \frac{\left\| \mathbf{C} - \breve{\mathbf{C}} \right\|_2}{\left\| \mathbf{C} \right\|_2} = \frac{\left\| \left( A - \breve{A} \right) \mathbf{Q} \right\|_2}{\left\| A \mathbf{Q} \right\|_2} \approx \frac{\left\| A - \breve{A} \right\|_2}{\left\| A \right\|_2}
$$

The number of links in the network can be severely reduced without significantly alter the results.

**Perturbation of emission-exposure model**

$$
e = qp \circ c = qp \circ AQ = EQ
$$

$$
\delta e = E \delta Q
$$





reducing emission in **street j**



Weak dependence on wind direction since A is diagonally dominant

$$
R_{i} \approx \delta Q E_{ii} = \delta Q q p_{i} A_{ii} = \delta Q q p_{i} \frac{U_{0}}{U} A_{0,ii}
$$
\n
$$
Q_{i} + U_{S,i}W_{i}H_{i}C_{i,up} = u_{d,i}W_{i}L_{i}C_{i} + U_{S,i}W_{i}H_{i}C_{i} \longrightarrow A_{0,ii} = \frac{1}{u_{d}WL}
$$
\n
$$
R_{i} \approx \delta Q E_{ii} = \frac{U_{0}}{U} \frac{\delta Q q n_{TOT}}{u_{d0} \sum H_{i} L_{i}} \frac{H_{i}}{W_{i}}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\delta} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{1}{\delta} \\ \
$$

**Consider all the connections except the self-interactions**









# Extension to photochemical smog

- Driven by solar radiation
- Due to traffic emissions  $(NO<sub>x</sub>)$
- Irritate the eyes and the respiratory tract
- Complex chemical reactions that can be simplified in the  $NO_2 - NO - O_3$  cycle

$$
NO2 + h\nu \xrightarrow{k_1} NO + O•
$$

$$
O• + O2 \xrightarrow{k_2} O3
$$

$$
NO + O3 \xrightarrow{k_3} NO2 + O2
$$





#### **PHOTOSTATIONARY ASSUMPTION**

The **timescales of chemical reactions**  are very short compared to the **timescales of turbulent transport**.

Chemical reactions can be applied **after** transport.



**Soulhac, Lionel, et al. "Evaluation of Photostationary and Non-Photostationary Operational Models for NOX Pollution in a Street Canyon." Atmospheric Environment 297 (2023): 119589.**

# Extension to photochemical smog

**I. TRANSPORT** as PASSIVE SCALARS

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{NO_2} &= A\mathbf{Q}_{NO_2}, \\
\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{NO} &= A\mathbf{Q}_{NO}, \\
\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_{O_3} &= A\mathbf{Q}_{O_3}\n\end{aligned}
$$

II. NULL-CYCLE **CHEMISTRY**

 $\boldsymbol{C} = \boldsymbol{f}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{C}}) = \boldsymbol{g}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{C}}_{NO_X})$ 

#### **III. COMPARISON WITH SIRANE**

SIMULATIONS FOR DIFFERENT WIND DIRECTIONS AND WIND INTENSITIES (ADOPTING VELOCITY CORRECTION)



Multiple scenarios of chemical pollutant dispersion can be achieved starting from a single transport matrix A

#### Where to reduce emissions considering chemical reactions?

**Perturbation of emission-exposure model**

$$
e = qp \circ c = qp \circ AQ = EQ \longrightarrow e = qp \circ c = qp \circ f(\tilde{c}) = qp \circ f(AQ)
$$
  

$$
\delta e = E \delta Q \longrightarrow \delta e = qp \circ \delta C = qp \circ \delta f(\tilde{c}) = qp \circ \delta f(AQ)
$$





$$
\delta e = e - e_0 \approx qp \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \widetilde{C}} A \delta Q
$$
  
\n
$$
\delta e = E \delta Q \quad \text{where} \quad E = qp \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \widetilde{C}} A
$$
  
\n
$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \widetilde{C}} = \frac{dg}{d \widetilde{C_{NO_X}}} \left( (1 - a) \frac{M_{NO}}{M_{NO_2}} a \ 0 \right)
$$

When the emitted and avected nitrogen oxides ( $\widetilde{{\rm NO}_{\rm X}}$ ) are large, the relation between before-after reaction concentrations is almost **linear**

**Exposure Reduction/Increase**[mg/h] to  $NO<sub>2</sub>$ , NO,  $O<sub>3</sub>$  by decreasing the emission of  $NO_2$ , NO  $(NO_x)$ 

$$
R_j = -\sum_i \delta e_i
$$

- Exposure reductions are **linear functions** of the passive scalar case
- A reduction in  $NO_x$  emission is responsible for a **concentration increase** of ozone



#### **Conclusions**

- I. MULTIPLE SCENARIOS FROM A SINGLE TRANSPORT MATRIX (A)
- II. LINEAR SCALING FOR VELOCITY INTENSITY
- III. NETWORK REDUCTION
- IV. OUTDEGREE OF EXPOSURE MATRIX (E) PROVIDES BEST PLACE WHERE TO REDUCE EMISSIONS
- V. EXTENSION TO CHEMISTRY
- VI. SIMPLE AND MODULAR MODEL



and perspectives…

- I. TRANSPORT MATRIX (A) FROM LES SIMULATIONS
- II. TEST FOR DIFFERENT STABILITY CONDITIONS AND CHEMICAL MODELS
- III. BETTER EXPOSURE MODEL